



Jon Colclough

Call: 2015

✉ jon.colclough@newsquarechambers.co.uk

📞 +44 (0) 20 7419 8000

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/jon-colclough-82a2b038>

Practice Overview

Jon is a commercial chancery practitioner, who specialises in insolvency and company matters.

His recent cases include *Financial Conduct Authority v Ferreira* [2022] EWCA Civ 397 (statutory interpretation of FSMA 2000), *Parker v Financial Conduct Authority* [2021] EWCA Crim 956 (constructive trusts), *Legacy Education Alliance v Progression* [2019] EWHC 3498 (Ch) (administration orders; company voluntary arrangements), *Azuonye v Kent* [2019] EWCA Civ 1289, [2018] EWHC 2766 (Ch) (bankruptcy; income payments orders; provable debts), *Itxen Ltd v Canning* [2019] EWHC 3546 (Ch) (administration orders; winding-up petitions), *Evans v Carter* [2017] EWHC 2163 (Ch) (unjust enrichment; bankruptcy; the rule in ex parte James), *Dinglis v Dinglis* [2019] EWCA Civ 127, [2017] EWHC 2099 (Ch) (directors' duties; agency; unlawful distributions to shareholders) and *Re Somaia* [2017] EWHC 2554 (QB) (trusts; tainted gifts under the criminal confiscation regime).

Jon previously worked for the Boston Consulting Group and the Financial Conduct Authority. He subsequently re-trained as a barrister and was awarded Lincoln's Inn 2015 "Student of the Year" for achieving the highest mark in the BPTC.

[Privacy Notice](#)

Commercial Litigation

Jon regularly acts in, and advises on, commercial disputes. Recent instructions include:

Dinglis v Dinglis [2017] EWHC 2099: a claim brought by a property-owning company against its property-managing agent for an account of money wrongly retained in breach of fiduciary duty (with Mark Hubbard).

Bennett v Allen (2017, High Court): a £350,000 claim for sums due pursuant to a disputed novation agreement. Jon successfully obtained summary judgment for the claimant.

A £250,000 claim for sums due under an IT contract; and defending a counterclaim for alleged provision of defective services.

A £400,000 claim brought against another company formerly within the same group for sums due pursuant to various oral contracts

Advising a company on a multimillion-pound commercial loan facility containing "hidden" exit fees arising out of back-to-back derivative contracts entered into by the bank.

Advising on contractual liability for VAT arising out of a property transaction which was wrongly thought to be the transfer of a business as a going concern.

Defending a claim brought by a bank against a former director for sums supposedly due pursuant to a personal guarantee.

Company & Partnership

Jon has a busy company law practice and has a particular expertise in relation to petitions brought pursuant to

section 994 of the Companies Act 2006. Recent instructions include:

Dinglis v Dinglis [2017] EWHC 2099: a claim brought by a property-owning company against its former directors for breach of duty in causing its property-managing agent to wrongly retain money in breach of fiduciary duty (with Mark Hubbard).

Acting for the majority shareholders of a medium-sized manufacturing company following a section 994 Companies Act 2006 petition brought by a minority shareholder.

Advising a minority shareholder as to a potential section 994 Companies Act 2006 petition following the proposed issuance of new shares (and the effective dilution of the minority).

Advising on whether payments to a director were distributions made in accordance with Part 23 of the Companies Act 2006.

Advising on reductions of capital and schemes of arrangement.

Insolvency

Jon has regularly acts in insolvency matters (both corporate and personal) and has particular expertise in claims against directors for breach of duty / misfeasance, wrongful and fraudulent trading, ultra vires distributions, transactions at an undervalue and preferences. Recent instructions include:

Azuonye v Kent [2018] EWHC 2766 (Ch): successfully acted for the trustee in bankruptcy who argued that future obligations under an income payments order (section 310 Insolvency Act 1986) are not provable in a subsequent bankruptcy.

Evans v Carter [2017] EWHC 2163 (Ch): defending a possession claim brought by a trustee in bankruptcy on the grounds that the bankrupt's interest in real property had re-vested in her as a result of unjust enrichment and pursuant to the so-called rule in *ex parte James*.

Re S. Simon & Co Limited (2016, High Court): acting for the company's liquidators in a £12m wrongful trading claim brought against two former directors (assisting James Bailey).

Acting for a trustee in bankruptcy in a section 339 Insolvency Act 1986 (transaction at an undervalue) claim against a bankrupt's spouse.

Advising as to potential claims against directors for sums paid into tax schemes, including employee benefit trusts.

Acting for a trustee in bankruptcy in a £500,000 claim against a bankrupt's former business partner pursuant to the so-called *Pallant v Morgan* equity.

Acting for a liquidator in a breach of duty claim against a former director in relation to payments of over £100,000.

Advising on the scope of a bankrupt's privilege following *Leeds v Lemos* [2017] EWHC 1825 (Ch).

Advising a director as to the merits of an intimated claim for breach of fiduciary duty where certain assets of the company were sold to a company of which the director was sole shareholder / director.

Advising a trustee in bankruptcy on a £600,000 proof of debt lodged by a liquidator of a company, the debt apparently arising out of a wrongful trading claim the liquidator was considering bringing against the bankrupt.

Advising as to the operation of the Insolvent Partnerships Order 1994.

Advising as to the vesting of a bankrupt barrister's book debts; and obtaining an income payments order.

Additional Information

Qualifications / Education

BA History, Hertford College, Oxford: First Class

GDL, BPP: Distinction

BPTC, City University: Outstanding (Lincoln's Inn "*Student of the Year*" for highest mark in the year)

Public Access

Yes

ADR

Yes

Cases

Eradicating the knotweed: "Reflective loss" is cut down to size. *Marex Financial v Sevilleja* [2020] UKSC 31

Reference:

Date: 15 Jul 2020

Court:

Judge:

Practice Area:

Michel v Michel & Ors

Reference: [2019] EWHC 1378 (Ch)

Date: 10 Jun 2019

Court: High Court

Justina Stewart acted for the successful respondents in a hard-fought shareholder dispute (unfair prejudice petition), *Michel v Michel* [2019] EWHC 1378 (Ch). The dispute involved numerous allegations of unfair prejudice in the context of a family-owned and controlled company, with the allegations spanning many years.

Chief ICC Judge Briggs found decisively in favour of the respondents, concluding that "the evidence in my view is overwhelming against a finding that it would be correct to characterise the removal of [the Petitioner] as unfair and prejudicial".

Justina played a highly pro-active role in preparation for trial, analysing thousands of pages of documents and playing a key role in the preparation of numerous witness statements (assisted by *Jon Colclough*), before representing the respondents for 7 of the 15 days of trial (the trial going part-heard).

Click [here](#) for the judgment.

Judge: Chief ICC Judge Briggs

Practice Area: Company & Partnership

Azuonye v Kent

Reference: [2018] EWHC 2766 (Ch)

Date: 02 Oct 2018

Court: High Court

The Appellant bankrupt appealed against an order of a District Judge that a subsequent bankruptcy did not affect his obligations to make payments under an income payments order ("**IPO**") made pursuant to section 310 of the Insolvency Act 1986.

Judge: Mrs Justice Falk

Practice Area: Insolvency

Re Somaia

Reference: [2017] EWHC 2554 (QB)

Date: 17 Oct 2017

Court: High Court

Ketan Somaia was convicted on 13 June 2014 on several counts of fraud following a private prosecution. On 12 January 2016, HHJ Hone QC made a Confiscation Order in excess of £20 million, confiscating his assets so as to pay compensation to the victim of his fraud (the victim being the Private Prosecutor in this case).

On the back of the Confiscation Order, the Private Prosecutor brought a claim against Mr Somaia's former wife ("**A**") seeking declarations that certain substantial payments made into a bank account in A's sole name were so-called "*tainted gifts*" to a third party and therefore caught by s. 74 of the Criminal Justice Act 1988 ("**CJA 1988**"). If the Private Prosecutor had been successful, A would have been required to pay an amount equivalent to the value of the "*tainted gifts*" to the Private Prosecutor.

After a trial the Private Prosecutor's tainted gift claim against A failed and the claim was dismissed and Restraint Orders against A were discharged.

Judge: Jefford J.

Practice Area: Civil Fraud

New Square Chambers

12 New Square
Lincoln's Inn
London
WC2A 3SW

DX: 1056 London/Chancery Lane

Contact

+44 (0) 20 7419 8000

clerks@newsquarechambers.co.uk